THE TRIC SUPPLY CONTROL OF THE STATE OF THE

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM (PAR)

NAME OF OFFICER:	
FATHER NAME:	
DESIGNATION:	
CNIC:	
ERP No:	

Signature



Peshawar Electric Supply Company

Performance Appraisal Form - (For Officers)

For the period _____202___, till___202___

Appraisee's Name / Employee ID:			Design /Pay S			
Department				ication:		
Date of Birth:			Total p qualific experie	oost cation		
Initial DOJ:			Serving Present	g in t Post since:		
Appraiser's Name			Designa	ation		
Second level Appraiser's Name			Designa	ation		
Performance rating /	Potential Assessmen	nt for the last	4 years:-			
Year	20	-	20	<u> </u>	20	20
Performance Appraisal						
Potential Assessment						
Employment History:	1					
Designation /	Location			Pe	riod	
Department		From (mm	/yy)	To	Year(s)	Months
1.						
2.						
Training History:			•			·
Program Detai	ils T	Training Insti	tute	From (do	l/mm/yy)	To (dd/mm/yy)

A. Key Result Areas/Job Objectives

(To be granted by 1st appraiser)

Please list the most important KRA's only. The total number of KRA's should not exceed 5. Grade 1 to 5 (Excellent 5, Very Good 4, Good 3, Satisfactory 2, Unsatisfactory 1)

S#	Key Result Areas	Key Result Areas, if differentfrom last year performance appraisal	Achievement against KeyResult Areas	Grading by appraiser against each KRA on the basis of % age achieved	Explanation for the grading assigned
1.					
2					

For example

KRA-1

Safety

Note:

(An employee will not be graded more than satisfactory in case safety violations or accidents are alleged against him)

(An employee against whom safety violations are proved after inquiry, shall be graded asunsatisfactory and in that case no grading shall be made in any category)

3			
4			
_			
5			
	Total: (Total of A grading/5)	Appraiser's	

Total weightage of KRA's is 70%=score of KRA/(5)x0.70=

Note: The dividable number (5) will accordingly be adjusted to the number of KRA's Identi

B. Skills Review (Grade 1 to 5)

(To be Graded by 1st appraiser)

(Excellent 5, Very Good 4, Good 3, Satisfactory 2, Unsatisfactory 1)

S #	General Skills	Grading by appraiser	Justification against each grading
1.	Communication Skills		
	 Effectively expresses himself Written and oral message is clear concise and easily understood by others Listen carefully and patiently Good Interpersonal skills 		
2.	Quality and Timely Decision Making		
	 Ability to logically analyze the issues Makes correct and logical 		
	decisions in an effective and efficient manner		
	 Stakeholder management Can take Practical and implementable decisions 		
3.	Problem Solving		
	 Identifies problems Secure necessary information and makes recommendations Comes up with practical solutions 		
4.	Planning & Organizing		
	 Time Management Utilizes available resources appropriately Multi-tasking 		
5.	Results Oriented		
	 Focus on the end results Make concerted effort to achievethe desired output 		
6.	 Function and Technical Skills Sound understanding of functional knowledge, laws, rules, regulations 		
	 Procedures and Policies related to field of work Possesses adequate functional skills 		

7.	Building Effective Teams Works well with other team members Projects a Positive work attitude Shows trust in team's abilities Gives constructive feedback	
8.	People management Delegates work, motivates subordinates and follow-up efficiently Fairly assesses his team Ensures capacity building of team Appreciates constructive advice	
9.	Change Management • Question status quo • Listens and is open to discussions and disagreements • Open to new Ideas • Support/takes initiatives	
10.	Dependability & Reliability Is Punctual and disciplined Takes ownership Strictly meets deadlines Vigilant & responsive Follows up on commitments	
Tot	tal: (Appraiser's score/10)	

Review Date:	

Total Weightage of Competencies is 30%= Total Score of Skills/competencies/10x 0.30=_____

C. Summary of Grading:

- Grading on KRA's (A):_____
- Grading on skills review (B):_____
- Final grading [70% (Part A) + 30% (Part B)]:_____

E = 5, VG = 4, G = 3, S = 2, US = 1

E = Excellent, VG = Very Good, G = Good, S = Satisfactory, US = Unsatisfactory

Overall Performances Grading.	E	VG	G	S	US
(Select the relevant Performance grading that best reflects the overall performance of the individual)					

Potential Assessment:				
(Select the relevant Potential assessment on the basis of overall Performance of the individual)	HP	A	PS	NI

High Potential = (HP)

Asset = (A)

Proving Stage = (PS)

Needs Improvement = (NI)

To be discussed and simultaneously signed in the presence of Appraisee (Employee), Appraiser (Departmental Head) and Second Appraiser (Head of Department/BOD):

D. Overall Comments

APPRAISER'S COMMENTS (to be completed on the basic of the following)

- 1. List the officer's Strengths as determined on the basic of performance assessment.
- 2. List the areas in which appraisee needs improvement and development. Indicate the steps taken or future plans for improvement such as training, job rotation, etc.
- 3. Preference about career path.

APPRAISEE'S FEEDBACK FOLLOWING THE REVI	EW. (Please sign the s	selected box)	
Agreed			
Partially agreed (extent of disagreements)			
Wholly Disagreed			
COMMENTS BY SECOND APPRAISER			

TKA	AINING NEED A	NALYSIS			
Sign	natures:				
	natures: Line Appraiser		Appraisee	Second Appraiser	
First I	Line Appraiser	r Next Yea ı		Second Appraiser	
First I	Line Appraiser Targets fo		r.	Second Appraiser al Head and forwarded to HR:	
First I	Line Appraiser Targets fo		r. cided with the Department		

2.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS/GUIDELINES FOR FILLING FORM

a. Grading is to be given as per following criteria:

Grading	Grading Points	Description
Excellent (E)	5	The employee who excels in all aspects of their work. This implies that major value addition has been exhibited in achieving KRA's i, e. quality improvement have been suggested either in processed, systems, laws etc. and the skill set is exceptional.
Very Good (VG)	4	The employee who excels in most aspects of their work. This implies that some value addition has been exhibited in achieving KRA's i, e. quality improvement have been suggested either in processes, systems, laws etc, and the skill set exceeds expectation.
Good (G)	3	The employee who is competent and produces quality output. This implies that all KRA's have been achieved and the skill level is above average.
Satisfactory (S)	2	The employee who meets average standards as to most job requirements but is deficient in the execution of certain parts of the job. This implies that major KRA's have been achieved. The skills are average and require improvement.
Unsatisfactory (US)	1	The employee whose works is unsatisfactory. This implies that major KRA's have not been achieved and the skills require major improvement.