CLARIFICATION-1

The clarifications is here by issued for information of prospective bidders against PESCO Tender No. PK-PESCO-556530-GO-RFB-ICB-13 for **Procurement of Package II (4,011 NO'S) STANDARD ASSET PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (APMS) WITH MAGNETIC CONTACTOR AND NON – MOTORIZED MCCB (ORDERING CODE: APMS-MC-S100 & APMS-MCS200) ALONG WITH ALLIED MATERIAL AND RELATED SERVICES FOR INSTALLATION ON DISTRIBUTION TRANSFORMERS OF 100KVA & 200KVA RATINGS IN PESCO OPERATION CIRCLES (MARDAN & SWABI)**. It is also clarified that the**)"** . original RFB Documents remain in full force and effect, except as modified by Published Addendum, which will become part of the RFB. Bidders shall take the Addendum-1 and this clarification into consideration when preparing and submitting its Bids. Following clarifications against the subject RFB are issued on: 25/11/2026.

<table>
<tr><th>S/No</th><th>Reference</th><th>Current provision in RFB</th><th>Clarification</th></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>Cable Sizing (Section VII, Schedule of Requirements, Items 3-4)</td><td>The Bidding Document specifies Insulated S/C AA Cable of 185 mm² for 200kVA APMS transformers and 120 mm² for 100kVA APMS transformers.</td><td>The cable size of 300-mm2 single core for 200 kVA T/F as approved by PPMC. Accordingly, "List of Goods and Delivery Schedule" provided under Section VII has been revised and provided against Sr. No.06 below.</td></tr>
<tr><td>2</td><td>ITB 32.4</td><td>Rated Criteria:
<table><tr><th>S. No</th><th>Factor/Subfactor</th><th>Weight</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>APMS Functional Performance</td><td>40%</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Interoperability / Universal Data Integration Layer (UDIL)</td><td>20%</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Deployment & Commissioning Methodology</td><td>15%</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>Reliability / Diagnostics / Lifecycle Support</td><td>15%</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>Quality Assurance and Health, Safety & Environment (HSE)</td><td>10%</td></tr></table></td><td>1. APMS Functional Performance: Bidders shall explain the functional capabilities of their proposed APMS including any improvements beyond the minimum specification and provide relevant along with supporting evidence of their choice. The information submitted will be assessed against the rated criterion and scoring methodology in the Published Bidding Document.
2. Interoperability/UDIL: Bidders shall explain how their proposed solution addresses interoperability and UDIL requirements which is supported by relevant information or evidence of their choice. An undertaking may demonstrate</td></tr>
</table>

			bidder's commitment but the awarded score will depend on how well the proposal meets the published rated criterion. 3. Reliability/Diagnostics/Lifecycle Support: Bidders shall explain how their proposed solution addresses reliability, diagnostics and lifecycle support including any improvements beyond the minimum requirements and supported by relevant information or evidence of their choice. For Rated Criteria, bidders may provide any relevant supporting information in a format of their choice. The information will be evaluated against the rated criteria and evaluation methodology stated in the Bidding Document.
3	1. Qualification Criteria (ITB 32.1)	(b) Specific Experience: (ii) Quantity: For a joint venture, this requirement may be met by all members by quantity.	The criteria mentioned in the document will remain intact/unchanged
4	Section III – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria	Technical Part Scoring Methodology	Bidders should describe what their proposed solution offers , explain how it meets the requirements stated in the Bidding Document and highlight any improvements beyond those requirements. Bidders may provide any relevant verifiable evidence to support their methodology.
5	Functional Requirements	Alarm and tripping against abnormal conditions specified in Para 3 above shall be available.	Yes

Chief Engineer (Development),
PMU PESCO Peshawar