CLARIFICATION NO-2

The Clarification is here by issued for information of prospective bidders against PESCO Tender No. PK-PESCO-556535-GO-RFB-ICB-12 - **PROCUREMENT OF 2,050 NO'S STANDARD ASSET PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (APMS) WITH MAGNETIC CONTACTOR AND NON – MOTORIZED MCCB (ORDERING CODE: APMS-MC-S100 & APMS-MCS200) ALONG WITH ALLIED MATERIAL AND RELATED SERVICES FOR INSTALLATION ON DISTRIBUTION TRANSFORMERS OF 100KVA & 200KVA RATINGS IN PESCO OPERATION CIRCLES (Bannu & D I Khan).** It is also clarified that the original RFB documents remain in full force and effect, except as modified by Published Addendum , which will become part of the RFB . Bidders shall take these addendums and clarification into consideration when preparing and submitting its Bids. Following clarifications against the above mentioned RFB are issued on 27.11.2026.

CLARIFICATION/ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

S/No	Reference	Current provision in RFB	Clarification
1	Prototype Approval Submission Authority — PPMC Spec Clause 14.1 vs SCC GCC 18.1	Clause 14.1 of the PPMC Specification refers prototype approval to the Office of Design & Standards, PPMC, while Section IX - SCC, GCC 18.1 refers it to the Office of Chief (Technical Services).	All formal technical submittals, including prototype approval documentation shall be submitted to the Chief (Technical Services) PPMC.
2	Volume-II of bidding Document's (Specifications)	Scope of Earthing	The earthing arrangement for the APMS box shall comply with PPMC Specification DS:01:2026 and WAPDA Specifications P-S2 and P-III that are included in Volume II of the RFB wherein detailed earthing requirements and relevant drawings are provided. • The acceptable earth resistance value is ≤ 2 Ω.
3	Section-VII, Schedule of requirements.	List of goods & delivery schedule Bimetallic Lugs	Standard (IEC 61238-1) is applicable for Bimetallic lugs to connect Aluminum Cable with Copper Bus Bar or Transformer Terminal. IEC 61238-1 is applicable to the Bimetallic lugs to connect aluminum cables with copper busbar(s) or transformer terminal(s).

<table>
<tr><td>4</td><td></td><td>Surplus Material</td><td>Upon completion of the APMS installation, surplus material shall be handed over to the PESCO store(s) designated by the Chief Engineer (Development) PMU PESCO.</td></tr>
<tr><td>6</td><td>Section-II, Bid Data Sheet .
ITB 32.4</td><td>Rated Criteria:
<table><tr><th>S.No.</th><th>Rated Criteria</th><th>Weightage</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>APMS Functional Performance</td><td>40%</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Interoperability / Universal Data Integration Layer (UDIL)</td><td>20%</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Deployment & Commissioning Methodology</td><td>15%</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>Reliability / Diagnostics / Lifecycle Support</td><td>15%</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>Quality Assurance and Health, Safety & Environment (HSE)</td><td>10%</td></tr></table></td><td>1. APMS Functional Performance: Bidders shall explain the functionalcapabilities of their proposed APMS including any improvementsbeyond the minimum specification and provide relevant along with supporting evidence of their choice. The information submitted will be assessed against the rated criterion and scoring methodology in the Published Bidding Document.
2. Interoperability/UDIL: Bidders shall explain how their proposedsolution addresses interoperability and UDIL requirements which is supported by relevant information or evidence of their choice. An undertaking may demonstrate bidder’s commitment but the awarded score will depend on how well the proposal meets the published rated criterion.
3. Reliability/Diagnostics/Lifecycle Support: Bidders shall explain how their proposed solution addresses reliability, diagnostics and lifecycle support including any improvements beyond the minimum requirements and supported by relevant information or evidence of their choice. For Rated Criteria, bidders may provide any relevant supporting information in a format of their choice. The information will be evaluated against the rated criteria and evaluation</td></tr>
</table>

			methodology stated in the Bidding Document.
7	1. Qualification Criteria (ITB 32.1)	(b) Specific Experience: (ii) Quantity: For a joint venture, this requirement may be met by all members by quantity.	The criteria mentioned in the document will remain intact/unchanged
8	Section III – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria	Technical Part Scoring Methodology	Bidders should describe what their proposed solution offers , explain how it meets the requirements stated in the Bidding Document and highlight any improvements beyond those requirements. Bidders may provide any relevant verifiable evidence to support their methodology.
9	Functional Requirements	Alarm and tripping against abnormal conditions specified in Para 3 above shall be available.	Yes
10	Schedule of Requirements	Earth Rod, Wire and Allied Material Complete	Refer to scope of work in the bidding documents

Chief Engineer (Development),
PMU PESCO Peshawar